

Almost maximally broken permutation symmetry for neutrino mass matrix

Kyungsik Kang

*Department of Physics, Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA*

Sin Kyu Kang and Jihn E. Kim

*Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics
Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea*

Pyungwon Ko

*Department of Physics, Hong-Ik University
Seoul 121-791, Korea*

Abstract

Assuming three light neutrinos are Majorana particles, we propose mass matrix ansatz for the charged leptons and Majorana neutrinos with family symmetry S_3 broken into S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Each matrix has three parameters, which are fixed by measured charged lepton masses, differences of squared neutrino masses relevant to the solar and the atmospheric neutrino puzzles, and the masses of three light Majorana neutrinos as a candidate for hot dark matter with $\sum |m_\nu| \sim 6$ eV. The resulting neutrino mixing is compatible with the data for the current upper limit, $\langle m_{\nu_e} \rangle_{th} < 0.8$ eV, of neutrino-less double beta decay experiments, and the current data for various types of neutrino oscillation experiments. One solution of our model predicts that $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillation probability is about < 0.008 with $\Delta m^2 \sim 10^{-2}$ eV², which may not be accessible at CHORUS and other ongoing experiments.

1. One of the important problems in particle physics is to understand the origin of fermion masses and flavor mixing and their hierarchical patterns. There are several different approaches to this problem, such as chiral flavor symmetries, texture zeros, mass matrix ansatz, determination of the top quark mass using the arguments based on infrared stable quasi-fixed point or the multiple point criticality principle, and so on [1].

Recently, Fritzsch and his collaborators [2] suggested quark mass matrix ansatz based on flavor democracy and its suitable breaking. This idea of flavor democracy is by no means new, and noticed by several authors before [3]. Their work on the quark sectors [2] yields the weak CP phase to be maximal ($\alpha \approx 90^\circ$) [4] in the mass matrix in order for the CKM matrix elements to be consistent with the current observations [5]. In view of the undergoing B-factory projects, it is quite interesting to see if this prediction of maximal CP-violation is really realized in the CP asymmetry in the B decays. These authors also considered the lepton mixing matrix without flavor democracy in the neutrino sector, and got some interesting predictions [6], which is essentially similar to the maximal mixing scenario.

In this letter, we extend Fritzsch's approach to the lepton sector with a suitable modification which is different from Ref. [6]. As discussed below, the flavor democracy predicts one heavy fermion versus two massless fermions. This picture nicely fits with the charged fermion sectors, but not with the neutrino sector. If one requires three neutrinos to be a hot dark matter component of the universe, and solve the solar and the atmospheric neutrino puzzles in terms of neutrino oscillations, one has to invoke three almost degenerate neutrinos, instead of a large hierarchical structure in m_{ν_i} ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Therefore, we relax 'the condition of flavor democracy' in the Yukawa couplings and require the Yukawa matrices to possess 'the permutation symmetry in the family indices.' Then, the flavor democratic structure turns out to be a special case of the structure with the permutation symmetry.

We first recapitulate the idea by Fritzsch *et al.* in the context of the charged lepton mass matrix (M_l). Then, we introduce a mass matrix ansatz for three light neutrinos (M_ν) invoking the permutation symmetry among three family indices (S_3), and its breaking to S_2 or S_1 . Each lepton matrix depends on three independent real parameters, if we assume there is no particular relations among lepton masses. The form of the lepton mass matrix with three independent real parameters may be completely arbitrary in principle, as long as they correctly reproduce three lepton masses. In order to reduce this arbitrariness in the form of the mass matrix ansatz, we assume that the mass matrix ansatz respect the permutation symmetry among three families (S_3) in the zeroth order approximation, and then this S_3 symmetry is subsequently broken to a smaller group S_2 or S_1 . This requirement of permutation symmetry reduces the arbitrariness of our approach based on specific ansatz for lepton masses. Thus, there are six real parameters in total in the lepton mass matrices, and there is no CP violation in the leptonic sector in our model. These six parameters are fit to (i) the charged lepton masses, (ii) Δm^2 relevant to the solar and the atmospheric neutrino puzzles, and finally (iii) $\sum_{i=1}^3 |m_{\nu_i}| \sim 6$ eV (in order to solve the dark matter problem) [7]. Diagonalizing the mass matrices yields a neutrino mixing matrix (V). By studying the resulting predictions to the various neutrino oscillation probabilities, and the neutrino-less double beta decay, we are led to make an ansatz for the neutrino mass matrix which fits every known constraint. It also predicts that $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) \sim 0.008$, which is beyond the reach of the CHORUS and other similar experiments.

When one considers massive neutrinos, one could think of variety of models with massive

neutrinos including see-saw mechanism and GUT. In this work, however, we simply assume that the standard model (both particle contents and the symmetry group structure) is valid up to some scale $\Lambda \ll M_Z$. Then there is a dimension-5 operator $h_{ij}l_i l_j H^2/\Lambda$, which generates the Majorana masses for three neutrinos $m_\nu \sim h v^2/\Lambda$ after the electroweak symmetry breaking [8]. If $\Lambda \sim M_{\text{Planck}}$, the neutrino masses are an order of 10^{-6} eV for $h \sim O(1)$, whereas $m_\nu \sim O(1)$ eV for $\Lambda \sim 10^{12}$ GeV (which is nothing but the usual intermediate scale in many beyond standard models).

2. For the charged lepton sector, we adopt modified Fritzsch's form as in Ref. [2] :

$$M_l^{\text{real}} = c_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_l & 0 \\ d_l & \frac{2}{3} \epsilon_l & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \epsilon_l \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \epsilon_l & 3 + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

in the hierarchical basis where $c_l \simeq m_\tau/3$. We assume that $d_l \ll \epsilon_l \ll 1$. Then, one can treat the d_l terms by a small perturbation, and solve $d_l = 0$ case first. The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= 0, \\ \lambda_\mp &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(3 + \epsilon_l) \mp 3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{9} \epsilon_l + \frac{1}{9} \epsilon_l^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_0\rangle &= (1, 0, 0), \\ |\lambda_-\rangle &= (0, \cos \theta_l, \sin \theta_l), \\ |\lambda_+\rangle &= (0, -\sin \theta_l, \cos \theta_l), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_l &= \frac{2\epsilon_l^2}{2\epsilon_l^2 + (9 + \epsilon_l - 3\lambda_-)^2}, \\ \cos \theta_l &= \frac{(9 + \epsilon_l - 3\lambda_-)}{\sqrt{2}\epsilon_l} \sin \theta_l. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

At this stage, $m_e = 0$ MeV. To the leading order in d , we can identify $\lambda_\mp$ as $m_{\mu,\tau}$. Doing so, one gets

$$\epsilon_l = 0.287, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_l = 2.66^\circ. \quad (5)$$

For electron mass (0.511 MeV), a nonzero value of d_l is needed, $d_l = 0.0128$ ($d_l^2 \approx 1.65 \times 10^{-4}$). M_l can be approximately diagonalized by a unitary matrix

$$U_l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{d_l \cos \theta_l}{\lambda_-} - \frac{d_l \sin \theta_l}{\lambda_+} \\ -d_l \left(\frac{\cos^2 \theta_l}{\lambda_-} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta_l}{\lambda_+} \right) & \cos \theta_l - \sin \theta_l \\ d_l \sin \theta_l \cos \theta_l \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_+} - \frac{1}{\lambda_-} \right) & \sin \theta_l \cos \theta_l \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Notice that the angle θ_i is completely fixed by the measured charged lepton masses.

3. Unlike the charged lepton sector, three neutrinos do not seem to have a hierarchy in their masses. In fact, the solar neutrino puzzle can be explained through the MSW mechanism if $\Delta m_{solar}^2 \approx 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{solar} \approx 8 \times 10^{-3}$ (small angle case), or $\sin^2 \theta_{solar} \approx 0.7$ (large angle case), and through the just-so vacuum oscillations if $\Delta m_{solar}^2 \approx 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2$. The atmospheric neutrino problem can be accommodated if $\Delta m_{atmos}^2 \approx 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{atmos} \approx 0.5$. If light massive neutrinos provide the hot dark matter of the universe, one has to require

$$\sum_{i=1,2,3} |m_{\nu_i}| \sim 6 \text{ eV}. \quad (7)$$

All these data indicate that all three neutrinos may be almost degenerate in their masses, with $m_\nu \sim$ a few eV, rather than $m_{\nu_1} \ll m_{\nu_2} \ll m_{\nu_3}$, as usually assumed in the three neutrino mixing scenarios¹.

Since the flavor democratic neutrino mass matrix leads to a large hierarchy, one necessarily has to modify the symmetry relevant to the neutrino mass matrix. Here, we propose to consider the permutation symmetry among three family indices rather than the flavor democracy. Then, the lowest order neutrino mass matrix would look like (in the *symmetry* basis)

$$\tilde{M}_\nu^{(0)} = c_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r & r \\ r & 1 & r \\ r & r & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

We have assumed that three light neutrinos are Majorana particles, so that the neutrino mass matrix is real symmetric 3×3 matrix. One recovers the flavor democratic case for $r = 1$. In the *hierarchical* basis, the above form becomes

$$M_\nu^{(0)} = c_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1-r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+2r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Either for small r (~ 0) or for $r \sim -2$, all the three neutrinos are almost degenerate². For any r , two neutrinos are always degenerate, so that there is only one Δm_ν^2 available.

In order to have two Δm^2 scales from our mass matrices, one has to lift the degeneracy for the first two neutrinos further. At this stage, there would be two simple ways to break this degeneracy :

¹The recent LSND data [9], if confirmed, indicates $\Delta m_{LSND}^2 \sim 1 \text{ eV}^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{LSND} \sim 10^{-3}$. Since the conclusions of two different analyses [9,10] do not agree each other, we do not consider the possibility alluded by the LSND data [9] in this work. See, however, Ref. [11] for a discussion when the LSND data is included.

²Note that the sign of the fermion mass is physically meaningless.

$$\text{Case I :} \quad M_\nu^{(I)} = c_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1-r & \epsilon_\nu & 0 \\ \epsilon_\nu & 1-r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+2r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

and

$$\text{Case II :} \quad M_\nu^{(II)} = c_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1-r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-r & \epsilon_\nu \\ 0 & \epsilon_\nu & 1+2r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Other possibilities are equivalent to the above two by changing the labels $i = 1, 2, 3$ in the mass eigenstates of neutrinos.

4. Now, we analyze the above two ansatzs for the neutrino mass matrices, and study the consequences in the neutrino mixing.

Case I :

In this case, the neutrino mass matrix is diagonalized by

$$U_\nu^I = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

with the eigenvalues

$$m_{\nu_i}/c_\nu = (1-r \mp \epsilon), (1+2r). \quad (13)$$

Note that we have shown only one possibility for labeling three neutrino mass eigenstates. Furthermore, Eq. (12) is independent of neutrino mass eigenvalues, or equivalently, on c_ν, ϵ_ν and r . This is because the mass matrix $M_\nu^{(I)}$ given in Eq. (10) still has a residual S_2 symmetry acting upon the first and the second family indices.

Combining with the U_l given in (6), one gets the neutrino mixing matrix, $V^I \equiv U_l^\dagger U_\nu^I$. First of all, the mixing matrix is independent of neutrino masses, although it depends on the charged lepton masses. One can solve for c_ν, r and ϵ_ν by requiring three conditions, $\Delta m_{solar}^2 \simeq 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{atmos}^2 \simeq 0.72 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$ and Eq. (7)³. Then, we check if the solution satisfies the constraint from the neutrino-less double β -decay, and other data from neutrino oscillation experiments.

We find that there are three sets of parameters, (c_ν, r, ϵ_ν) :

$$\begin{aligned} & (1.0, \pm 0.667, \mp 2.997) \\ (r, c_\nu, \epsilon_\nu) = & (1.001, \pm 0.666, \mp 3.004) \\ & (0.999, \pm 0.667, \mp 2.999). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

³In this work, we solve the solar neutrino problem in terms of vacuum oscillations. The results would remain the same even if we invoke the MSW mechanism.

Since we are considering Majorana neutrinos, there is an additional constraint from non-observation of neutrino-less double β -decays [12] :

$$\langle m_{\nu_e} \rangle \equiv \left| \sum_{i=1}^3 V_{ei}^2 m_i \right| < 0.7 \text{ eV}. \quad (15)$$

This condition is not easy to satisfy in typical models with massive neutrinos when one tries to solve the hot dark matter problem in terms of light neutrinos with masses in a few eV range, as discussed in Ref. [13].

The values for $\langle m_{\nu_e} \rangle$ corresponding to Eq. (14) are

$$\langle m_{\nu_e} \rangle = 0.2771 \text{ eV}, \quad 0.2763 \text{ eV} \quad \text{and} \quad 0.2781 \text{ eV}, \quad (16)$$

respectively. All of these solutions are well below the current upper limit given in Eq. (15). The expected atmospheric neutrino data R 's for different L/E from the atmospheric are given in Table 1 along with the current data from KAMIOKANE, IMB, FREJUS, NUSEX and SOUDAN. From Table 1, we find that the lepton mass matrix ansatz Eqs. (11) and (14) reproduce all the known data on the neutrino oscillation experiments.

Further test of our ansatz is provided with the long baseline experiments searching for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillation in the range of $\Delta m_\nu^2 \simeq 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$. Our prediction is that

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) \sim 7.6 \times 10^{-3}, \quad (17)$$

with $\Delta m^2 = 0.72 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$. This is still well below the current upper limit as well as the planned search for the $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations at CHORUS, NOMAD, ICARUS, etc.

Case II :

In this case, the neutrino mass matrix is diagonalized by

$$U_\nu^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_\nu & -\sin \theta_\nu \\ 0 & \sin \theta_\nu & \cos \theta_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

with the mass eigenvalues being

$$m_i/c_\nu = 1 - r, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left[(2 + r) \pm \sqrt{9r^2 + 4\epsilon_\nu^2} \right]. \quad (19)$$

The mixing angle θ_ν is determined by

$$\sin^2 \theta_\nu = \frac{2\epsilon_\nu^2}{(9r^2 + 4\epsilon_\nu^2) - 3r\sqrt{9r^2 + 4\epsilon_\nu^2}}, \quad (20)$$

with

$$\cos \theta_\nu = \left(\frac{\sqrt{9r^2 + 4\epsilon_\nu^2} - 3r}{2\epsilon_\nu} \right) \sin \theta_\nu. \quad (21)$$

Unlike the *Case I*, we now have the neutrino mixing matrix which does depend on the neutrino masses in a nontrivial way.

For a given set of m_i^2 , one can get θ_ν . Scanning the parameter space in this case as before, we find no solution. Typically, $|V_{1e}| \sim 1$ and $|V_{2e}|, |V_{3e}| \ll 1$, we have too large $\langle m_{\nu_e} \rangle \simeq 2$ eV to be compared to (15). Thus, the neutrino mass ansatz Eq. (11) along with the charged lepton mass ansatz Eq. (1) does not fit the neutrino data.

5. In conclusion, we investigated the lepton mass matrices with the minimal number of parameters, three in each of the charged lepton and Majorana neutrino mass matrices (M_l and M_ν), with a permutation symmetry among three generations (S_3) and its suitable breaking into S_1 and S_2 , respectively. We find the ansatz (1) and (10) lead to a lepton mixing matrix which is consistent with the current data on various types of neutrino oscillation experiments. Three light Majorana neutrinos can serve as the hot dark matter, with $\Sigma |m_{\nu_i}| \sim 6$ eV. The resulting $\nu_\tau \leftrightarrow \nu_\mu$ probability is in the range of 0.008 with $\Delta m^2 \simeq 0.7 \times 10^{-2}$ eV², which still lies beyond the scope of the planned CHORUS and other experiments searching for $\nu_\tau \leftrightarrow \nu_\mu$ oscillation. Furthermore, three neutrinos being almost degenerate, we expect that the lepton family number breaking will be very small.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Two of us (JEK,PK) thank the Brown High Energy Theory Group for the hospitality during the visit. This work is supported in part by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through Center for Theoretical Physics at Seoul National University (SKK, JEK, PK), SNU-Brown Exchange Program (KK, JEK, PK), Korea-Japan Exchange Program (JEK), the Ministry of Education through the Basic Science Research Institute, Contract No. BSRI-96-2418 (JEK,PK), and also the US DOE Contract DE-FG-02-91ER40688 - Task A (KK).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Raby, Lectures at the Trieste Summer School 1994 on theories of fermion masses, Trieste HEP Cosmology 126 (1994); C.D. Froggatt, GUTPA/95/10/3, hep-ph/9511400 (1995).
- [2] H. Fritzsch and Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B 353**, 114 (1995).
- [3] P. Kaus and S. Meshkov, Phys. Rev. **D 42**, 1863 (1990), and references therein.
- [4] M. Shin, Phys. Lett. **145 B**, 285 (1984) ; K. Kang and M. Shin, Phys. Rev. **D 33**, 2688 (1986).
- [5] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **D 54**, 1 (1996).
- [6] H. Fritzsch and Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B 372**, 265 (1996).
- [7] G. Gelmini and E. Roulet, Rep. Prog. Phys. **58**, 1207 (1995).
- [8] R. Barbieri, J. Ellis and M.K. Gaillard, Phys. Lett. **B 90**, 249 (1980).
- [9] C. Athanassopoulos *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2650 (1995).
- [10] J.E. Hill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2654 (1995).
- [11] Kyungsik Kang, Jihn E. Kim and Pyungwon Ko, Brown-HET-990-Rev, SNUTP 95-024, hep-ph/9503436, Z. Phys. **C**, in press.
- [12] H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **32**, 261 (1994), and references therein.
- [13] P. Langacker, in *Testing the Standard Model*, (TASI 90) Edited by M. Cvetič and P. Langacker, (World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1991) p. 863.
- [14] P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B 333**, 471 (1994).
- [15] P.F. Harrison, D.H. Perkins and W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B 349**, 137 (1995).
- [16] K.S. Hirata *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 205**, 416 (1988) ; Phys. Lett. **B 280**, 146 (1992) ; Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 335**, 237 (1994).
- [17] R. Becker-Szendy *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D 34**, 3720 (1992) ; D. Casper *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2561 (1992).
- [18] C. Berger *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 227**, 489 (1989) ; Phys. Lett. **B 245**, 305 (1990).
- [19] M. Aglietta *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **15**, 559 (1991).
- [20] P.J. Litchfield, Proc. Int. Europhys. Conference on High Energy Physics, Marseille, 557 (1993). (Editions Frontieres, ed., J. Carr and M. Perrottet.)

TABLES

TABLE I. The atmospheric neutrino data R for various L/E along with our predictions for $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 0.72 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{32}^2 = \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2$ We show the $r = (\mu/e)_{\text{incident}}$ values for each data point also.

Experiments	r	L/E (km/GeV)	Measured	Prediction
KAMIOKA [16]	4.5/1	5	$1.27^{+0.61}_{-0.38}$	0.99
(Multi-GeV)	3.2/1	10	$0.63^{+0.21}_{-0.16}$	0.97
	2.2/1	100	$0.51^{+0.15}_{-0.12}$	0.41
	3.2/1	1000	$0.46^{+0.18}_{-0.12}$	0.31
	4.5/1	2000	$0.28^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$	0.22
KAMIOKA [16]	2.1/1	80	0.59 ± 0.10	0.50
(Sub-GeV)	2.1/1	12800	0.62 ± 0.10	0.48
IMB [17]	2.1/1	1000	0.54 ± 0.13	0.47
FREJUS [18]	2.1/1	500	0.87 ± 0.18	0.47
NUSEX [19]	2.1/1	500	0.99 ± 0.32	0.47
SOUDAN [20]	2.1/1	1000	0.69 ± 0.21	0.47